

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2566 of 1990

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE PRADIP KUMAR SARKAR

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO  
to see the judgements?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO  
of the judgement?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO  
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution  
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
MUKESH I PATEL

Versus

GUJ.AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY  
-----

Appearance:

Mr. M.K. Vakharia for MR KG VAKHARIA for Petitioners  
Mr. Mazgaonkar MR SN SHELAT for Respondent No. 1, 2  
-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE PRADIP KUMAR SARKAR

Date of decision: 24/04/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The four petitioners filed the present petition for regularizing their service in the post of Junior Engineer. I have heard Mr. M.K. Vakharia for the petitioners and Mr. Mazgaonkar for respondent Gujarat Agricultural University.

Learned counsel for the respondent University submitted that the petitioner No.1 Shri Mukesh I. Patel, petitioner No.2 Shri Prafulkumar K. Vithlani and petitioner No.4 Shri. Jayesh V. Joshi has already been regularly appointed by respondent University in their respective post as Junior Engineer. Learned counsel for the respondent submitted that, petitioner No.3 Shri. Jagdish J. Mehta has already resigned from the post and left the service of the respondent University, and therefore there is no question of his regularisation.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent University, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that, since the petitioners No. 1, 2 and 4 have been regularly appointed and in view of the fact that petitioner no.3 has resigned from service of the University, present petitioners have no grievance. In view of this submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners, I am of the view that the petition has become infructuous and accordingly the petition is dismissed. Interim order stands vacated. No order as to costs. The copy of the order passed by respondent University in respect of petitioners no. 1, 2 and 4 be kept on record .

DT: 24-4-2000

( P.K. Sarkar, J )

/vgn